UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/653,754	09/03/2003	Stephan G. Meier	5500-97500	3663
53806 7590 05/28/2009 MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL (AMD) P.O. BOX 398			EXAMINER	
			DILLON, SAMUEL A	
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2185		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent_docketing@intprop.com ptomhkkg@gmail.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		10/653,754	MEIER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		SAMUEL DILLON	2185			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ma</u>	arch 2009.				
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
′=	,—		secution as to the merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	A painte quayie, 1000 0.21 11, 10	0 0.0. 2.0.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 15-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 15-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2185

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's submission filed on March 9, 2009 has been entered. Per the amendment, Claims 2 and 14 have been cancelled and Claims 1, 3, 13, 15 and 23 have been amended.

I. RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT(S) / ARGUMENT(S)

- 2. Applicant's arguments (pg 12) with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a) rejections of Claims 13 and 15-22 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections have been withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a) rejections of Claims 1, 3-12 and 23-29 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejections have been upheld, and the Applicant directed below for traversal.
- 4. Regarding Claims 1 and 23, the Applicant contends (pgs 10-12) that Tran does not disclose predicting a first way to be hit in the cache for the first address responsive to the first value matching one of a plurality of values, wherein the one of the plurality of values is output from the first way of the memory. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with such a requirement in Claims 1 and 23. The contested limitations appear in the intended use portion of the claim, and as such, if Tran's decoder, memory and circuit are not specifically precluded from such a use, then they meet the claimed requirements. The Applicant is directed to Section II below for more information regarding how the Examiner is interpreting the claims.
- 5. Regarding all other Claims not specifically traversed above and whose rejections were upheld, the Applicant contends that the listed claims are allowable by virtue of their dependence on other allowable claims. As this dependence is the sole rationale put forth for the allowability of said dependent claims, the Applicant is directed to the Examiner's remarks above.

Art Unit: 2185

II. OBJECTIONS TO THE APPLICATION

- 6. Claim 1 reads "... a decoder configured to decode ...", "... a memory ... configured to output" and "... a circuit ... configured to compare ..., and wherein the circuit is configured to output ...". The phrasing "configured to" is interpreted as claiming the intended use of the element, and claiming the intended use of the element is not the same as positively reciting such a usage of the element. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See MPEP 2106 II (C). Though not "wrong", per se, such a limitation substantially broadens the requirements of the claim.
- 7. The following claims are additionally noted to have intended use limitations:
 - a. Claim 3: "... the circuit ... is configured to assert ...".
 - b. <u>Claim 11</u>: "... the cache is **configured to** replace ...".
 - c. <u>Claim 12</u>: "... the cache is **configured to** use ...".
 - d. <u>Claim 23</u>: "... a decoder **configured to** decode ...", "... a memory ... **configured to** output ...", "... a first circuit ... **configured to** compare ...", and "... the data cache
 memory is configure to output ...".
 - e. <u>Claim 24</u>: "... the data cache tag memory **configured to** output ...".
 - f. Claim 25: "... the second circuit is **configured to** ...".
 - g. <u>Claim 26</u>: "... column multiplexor circuitry ... configured to ...".
 - h. <u>Claim 27</u>: "... column multiplexor circuitry ... configured to ...".
 - i. Claim 29: "... the circuit is **configured to** ...".

Art Unit: 2185

III. REJECTIONS NOT BASED ON PRIOR ART

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. <u>Claims 13 and 15-22</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. <u>Claim 13</u> reads "comparing each of the plurality of values to the first value". There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "the first value" in the claim. For the purposes of further examination, the Examiner will interpret the claim as reading "a first value". <u>Claims 15-22</u> do not appear to resolve this deficiency, and are rejected by virtue of their dependence on <u>Claim 13</u>.

IV. <u>REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART</u>

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 102 - Tran

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1, 3-11 and 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tran (US Patent No. 6,016,533).
- 12. For <u>Claim 1</u>, Tran discloses a way predictor comprising:

a decoder configured to decode an indication of a first address that is to access a cache for a current cache access, the decoder configured to select a set responsive to the indication of the first address (decoder is coupled to the memory locations and

Application/Control Number: 10/653,754

Art Unit: 2185

storage locations, and is configured to receive and decode addresses, col. 3, lines 24-29);

Page 5

a memory coupled to the decoder, wherein the memory is configured to output a plurality of values from a set of storage locations in response to the decoder selecting the set, wherein each of the plurality of values corresponds to a different way of a plurality of ways of the memory (the decoder may be configured to select a subset of way predictions from a selected set based upon a portion of a requested address, col. 3, lines 41-44), wherein the cache includes a same number of ways as the memory (the way prediction can be any way, figure 3), and wherein the cache includes a tag memory storing a plurality of tags corresponding to cache lines stored in the cache and a data memory storing the cache lines (tag array and data in memory, figure 6), wherein each of the plurality of values comprises a plurality of bits associated with a corresponding cache line stored in the cache in a respective way of the plurality of ways and in the set (way prediction, figure 3); and

a circuit coupled to receive the plurality of values and a first value corresponding to the first address, wherein the circuit is configured to compare the first value to the plurality of values, and wherein a match of the first value and a second value stored in a first way of the plurality of ways causes the circuit to predict the first way be a hit in the cache for the first address for the current access (the cache memory uses portions of the requested address in parallel to reduce way prediction, col. 3, lines 5-7; a first portion of a requested address is used to select a set of way predictions within the plurality of storage locations, col. 3, lines 22-24), and wherein the circuit is configured to output a way identifier identifying the first way to the data memory, the way identifier used by the data memory to select the first way to output data, and wherein the circuit is configured

Art Unit: 2185

to construct the way identifier based on the comparisons of the first value to the plurality of values (way prediction array, step 104, figure 6).

- 13. For <u>Claim 3</u>, Tran discloses the circuit, if none of the plurality of values matches the first value, is configured to assert an early miss signal (the data cache is pipelined so that the next access is started before the validity of the previous way prediction is determined, col. 15, lines 31-40).
- 14. For <u>Claim 4</u>, Tran discloses each of the plurality of values comprises a portion of a tag identifying a corresponding cache line in the cache, the portion excluding at least one bit of the tag (col. 3, lines 22-24; col. 13, lines 10-20; a cache line is read and output by the sense amp unit, if the requested address hits in the tag cache, the way prediction is verified by comparator which receives the way prediction after it is selected from way prediction array, col. 14, lines 34-39; offset bits from a request address are used to selected the requested bytes from the cache line, col. 14, lines 35-36).
- 15. For <u>Claim 5</u>, Tran discloses each of the plurality of values is derived from at least a portion of the indication of the address identifying a corresponding cache line (col. 3, lines 22-24; col. 13, lines 10-20).
- 16. For <u>Claim 6</u>, Tran discloses each of the plurality of values comprises a portion of one or more address operands used to generate the address (col. 3, lines 22-24; col. 13, lines 10-20).
- 17. For <u>Claim 7</u>, Tran discloses at least one bit of one of the plurality of values is a logical combination of two or more bits of the address (a first portion of a requested address is used to select a set of way predictions stored within the plurality of storage locations..., a first subset of memory locations may be selected based upon a second portion of the requested address and the selected set of way predictions..., a second subset of memory locations may be selected based upon the third portion of the requested address..., in one embodiment, the second portion

Art Unit: 2185

and third portion of said requested address may be the same portion of the requested address, col. 3, lines 22-44).

- 18. For <u>Claim 8</u>, Tran discloses at least one bit of one of the plurality of values is a logical combination of two or more bits of one or more address operands used to generate the address (col. 3, lines 22-44).
- 19. For <u>Claim 9</u>, Tran discloses the indication of the first address comprises at least a portion of the first address (col. 3, lines 22-24).
- 20. For <u>Claim 10</u>, Tran discloses the indication of the first address comprises two or more address operands used to generate the first address (col. 3, lines 22-24).
- 21. For <u>Claim 11</u>, Tran discloses if the way prediction is incorrect, the cache is configured to replace a cache line in the way indicated by the way prediction with a missing cache line corresponding to the first address (if there is no match found in the tags, a cache miss occurs, and the output data is canceled and the requested data is fetched from main memory, col. 15, lines 31-33).
- 22. For Claim 23, Tran discloses an apparatus comprising:

a way predictor (a cache memory employing way prediction, col. 3, lines 4-5) comprising:

a decoder configured to decode an indication of a first address that is to access a cache for a current cache access, the decoder configured to select a set responsive to the indication of the first address (col. 3, lines 24-29);

a memory coupled to the decoder, wherein the memory is configured to output a plurality of values from the set in response to the decoder selecting the set, wherein each of the plurality of values corresponds to a different way of a plurality of ways of the memory, wherein the cache includes a same number of ways as the memory (the way

Art Unit: 2185

prediction can be any way, figure 3), and wherein the cache includes a tag memory storing a plurality of tags corresponding to cache lines stored in the cache and a data memory storing the cache lines (tag array and data in memory, figure 6), wherein each of the plurality of values comprises a plurality of bits associated with a corresponding cache line in the cache in a respective way of the plurality of ways and in the set (col. 3, lines 41-44); and

a first circuit coupled to receive the plurality of values and a first value corresponding to the first address, wherein the first circuit is configured to compare the first value to the plurality of values, and wherein a match of the first value and a second value stored in a first way of the plurality of ways causes the first circuit to predict the first way to be a hit in the cache for the first address for the current access, and wherein the first circuit is configured to output a way identifier identifying the first way to the data cache data memory, the way identifier used by the data cache data memory to select the first way to output data, and wherein the first circuit is configured to construct the way identifier based on the comparisons of the first value to the plurality of values (col, 3, lines 5-7; col. 3, lines 22-24); and

the data cache data memory coupled to the way predictor, wherein the data cache data memory is arranged into the plurality of ways, and wherein the data cache data memory is configured to output data from the first way, and wherein the predicted way is identified by the way prediction (data cache is a high speed cache memory provided to temporarily store data being transferred between load/store unit and the main memory subsystem, and may employ a way prediction mechanism, col. 10, lines 55-63), and wherein the data cache data memory includes a second circuit configured to reduce power consumption attributable to one or more non- predicted ways of the

Application/Control Number: 10/653,754

Art Unit: 2185

plurality of ways (die space and power consumption may be reduced through the use of one sense amp unit instead of multiple sense amp units, i.e., one sense amp unit per way or Column, col. 3, lines 8-16).

Page 9

- 23. For <u>Claim 24</u>, Tran discloses the data cache tag memory configured to output a tag from the first way (a tag array uses an index portion of the requested address to access a particular set of tags, which are conveyed to a tag comparator, which receives a second portion of the requested address to compare with the selected set of tags; if one of the tags compares equal, there is a "hit" in the cache, and if none of the tags equal the second portion of the address, there is a "miss", col. 13, lines 10-20). Tran does not explicitly disclose not outputting tags from the one or more non- predicted ways, however, this is inherently true in Tran's disclosure. It is only when there is a hit or a correct prediction in the cache that a tag is output and used, therefore a miss or a non-predicted way would, inherently not produce a tag to be used.
- 24. For <u>Claim 25</u>, Tran discloses the second circuit is configured to generate separate wordlines for each of the plurality of ways in the data cache data memory, and wherein the second circuit is configured to activate a first wordline to the first way and to not activate word lines to the non-predicted ways (*fig. 3, items 50, 52, 54, 56*; the data cache comprises a data arrayof a plurality of memory locations configured into columns, and each column is coupled to a corresponding sense amp unit, each which are coupled to way selection multiplexer and sense amp enable unit, col. 12, lines 20-25).
- 25. For <u>Claim 26</u>, Tran discloses the second circuit includes column multiplexor circuitry coupled to the plurality of ways and configured to select the output of the first way as input to a sense amplifier circuit, wherein the column multiplexor circuitry is controlled by the predicted first way (col. 12, lines 20-25).

Art Unit: 2185

26. For <u>Claim 27</u>, Tran discloses the second circuit includes column multiplexor circuitry coupled to the plurality of ways and configured to select the output of the first way as input to a sense amplifier circuit, wherein the column multiplexor circuitry is controlled by the predicted first way (col. 12, lines 20-25).

- 27. For <u>Claim 28</u>, Tran discloses the second circuit comprises a plurality of sense amplifier circuits, wherein each of the plurality of sense amplifier circuits is coupled to a respective one of the plurality of ways, and wherein each of the plurality of sense amplifier circuits includes an enable input that is controlled by the predicted first way(data from one memory location is then selected for output by way selection multiplexer, which selects a particular column based upon a way prediction read from way prediction array, and the memory location at the intersection of the selected row and column is then read and output, col. 12, lines 39-44).
- 28. For Claim 29, Tran discloses the apparatus further comprising a second level cache (the Examiner takes official notice that a second level cache is notoriously well known in the art, and that it would have been obvious to include a second level cache for the benefit of additional caching), and wherein the circuit is configured to detect a miss responsive to the plurality of values and the first value prior to the miss being detected in the cache that corresponds to the data cache data memory (a miss that happened prior to the current access, possibly unrelated, column 1 lines 40-57), and wherein the circuit is configured to signal the miss to the second level cache, and wherein the second level cache is configured to begin an access corresponding to the first address responsive to signal from the circuit (reading a value form a cache, column 1 lines 40-57).

Art Unit: 2185

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103 - Tran and Wickeraad

29. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 30. Claim 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran (US Patent No. 6,016,533) in view of Wickeraad et al (US Patent No. 6,4901654).
- 31. For <u>Claim 12</u>, Tran fails to disclose if no way prediction is generated and a cache miss results for the first address, the cache is configured to use a replacement algorithm to select the cache line to be replaced with the missing cache line.

Wickeraad discloses a cache memory replacement algorithm that replaces cache lines based on the likelihood that cache lines will not be needed soon *(col. 4, lines 50- 52)*.

Tran and Wickeraad are analogous art in that they are of the same field of endeavor, that is, a system and/or method of memory control. Wickeraad suggests that it would have been desirable to incorporate a cache line replacement algorithm into the system of Tran because this allows data which is likely needed soon is assigned a higher replacement class, while data that is more speculative and less likely to be needed soon is assigned a lower replacement class (col. 5, lines 2-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tran as suggested by Wickeraad to incorporate the feature as claimed.

Art Unit: 2185

V. CLOSING COMMENTS

32. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

a. STATUS OF CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION

33. The following is a summary of the treatment and status of all claims in the application as recommended by M.P.E.P. '707.07(i):

a(1). SUBJECT MATTER CONSIDERED ALLOWABLE

34. <u>Claims 13 and 15-22</u> would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The primary reasons for allowance of <u>Claims 13</u> and 15-22 in the instant application is the positive recitation of the functionality that the Applicant contends is absent from the references on pages 2-4 of the remarks filed <u>March 9, 2009</u>.

a(2). CLAIMS NO LONGER IN THE APPLICATION

35. Claims 2 and 14 were cancelled by amendment.

Art Unit: 2185

a(3). CLAIMS REJECTED IN THE APPLICATION

36. Per the instant office action, <u>Claims 1, 3-13 and 15-29</u> have received an action on the merits and are subject of a final action.

b. **DIRECTION OF FUTURE CORRESPONDENCES**

- 37. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to <u>Sam Dillon</u> whose telephone number is <u>571-272-8010</u>. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.
- 38. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, <u>Sanjiv Shah</u> can be reached on <u>571-272-4098</u>. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is <u>571-273-8300</u>.

IMPORTANT NOTE

39. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sanjiv Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2185 Sam Dillon Examiner Art Unit 2185